

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States. Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Boy/1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,439	12/29/2003	Bennett Cookson JR.	019404-001500	2381	
	7590 01/04/2007 AND TOWNSEND AND (	CREW, LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			CABUCOS, MARIE G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2163		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/04/2007	PAPED		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/748,439	COOKSON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marie Antoinette Cabucos	2163				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE IN SIZE OF THE WELLING DATE IN SIZE OF THE WAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/29	0/03 and amendment filed on 10/	/24/06				
2a)⊠	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
İ	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-9,14-23 and 28 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-9,14-23 and 28</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abevance. See	237 CER 1.85(a)				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1				
12) 🗌 🗸	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice 3) Inform	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	tent Application				
S Dotont and Tree							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 15-17, 21-23 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kent W. Huff (US Patent no. 6,760,731).

Regarding claims 1 and 15, Huff discloses a system and method for consolidating genealogy records, comprising a processor programmed to partition the records using at least one index file to form one or more partitions, wherein each record represents an individual and includes a name data element and at least one additional data element selected from a group of data elements consisting of birth date, birth place, death date, and death place, and wherein each record has links associated therewith, wherein the links identify related records representing an individual related to

the individual of the record (col. 6, lines 53-56); sorting the records in a partition based on a data element in the records; comparing records within a sort range (col. 25, lines 30-35); based on the comparison, identifying same person records, wherein identifying same person records comprises: calculating a factor that represents the likelihood that two records represent the same individual; comparing the factor to a predetermined threshold; based on the comparison, provisionally determining whether the records represent the same individual; for each pair of records provisionally determined to represent the same individual: calculating a factor that represents the likelihood that respective mother records for the pair of records represent the same mother; calculating a factor that represents the likelihood that respective father records for the pair of records represent the same father; using the factor that represents the likelihood that the two records represent the same individual, the factor that represents the likelihood that respective mother records for the pair of records represent the same mother, and the factor that represents the likelihood that respective father records for the pair of records represent the same father, calculating a revised factor representing the likelihood that the pair of records represent the same individual; comparing the revised factor to a predetermined threshold; and based on the comparison, determining that the two records represent the same individual (col. 15, lines 63-67 and col. 16, lines 1-14); consolidating information in the same person records; receiving a request from a user to view at least a portion of the consolidated information for a particular group of same person records; and sending a file comprising the portion to the user (col. 25, lines 30-35).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,439

Art Unit: 2163

3. Regarding claims 2 and 16, Huff discloses a system and method according to claims 1 and 15, wherein the processor, in being programmed to partition the records using at least one index file is further programmed to use a surname index to identify records having the same surnames and grouping those records into a surname partition (col. 15, lines 63-65).

Page 4

- 4. Regarding claims 3 and 17, Huff discloses a system and method according to claims 2 and 16, the processor is further programmed to use the surname index to identify records having similar surnames and group those records into the surname partition (col. 6, lines 34-36).
- 5. Regarding claims 7-9 and 21-23, Huff discloses a system and method according to claims 1 and 15, wherein the processor, in being programmed to sort the records in a partition based on a data element in the records is further programmed to sort the records based on birth date, also to sort the records based on a selection from the group consisting of name, death data, death place, and birth place (col. 6, lines 53-56); and wherein the processor, in being programmed to compare records within a sort window is further programmed to compare records within a birth date range (figure 4).
- 6. Regarding claims 14 and 28, Huff discloses in figure 5 a system and method according to claims 1 and 15, wherein the portion comprises a family tree based on consolidated information from a plurality of records (col. 26, lines 29-33).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,439

Art Unit: 2163

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 4-6, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huff in view of Kane et al (US Patent no. 6,389,429).

Huff discloses all the claim elements as disclosed previously except for the processor further programmed to use a phonetic algorithm to identify records having similar surnames. Kane discloses an encoded field indexing form in which fields are phonetically encoded using the Soundex Algorithm that captures a representation of a word (col. 5, lines 66-67 and col. 6, lines 1-3). It would have been obvious by one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to further program processor of Huff with the algorithm disclosed in Kane so as to normalize away spelling and typographical errors. Col. 6, lines 4-6).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Prior art of record to Millet et al (US Patent no. 4,8817,036) discloses a computer system and method for database indexing and information retrieval.

Prior art of record to Michael Smolsky (US Patent no. 6,947,933) discloses identifying similarities within large collections of unstructured data.

Prior art of record to Elliott et al (US Publication no. 2002/0156779) discloses an internet search engine.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,439

Art Unit: 2163

### Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marie Antoinette Cabucos whose telephone number is 571-272-8582. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marie Antoinette Cabucos

Examiner

Art Unit 2163

ALFORD KINDRED
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7